REMARKS

Applicants graciously acknowledge the acknowledgment of allowable subject matter in claim 31. Claims 1, 24, 26-27, and 29-30 have been amended. Claims 2, 3, 8, 12 and 30 have been cancelled. Claim 32 has been newly added. No new matter has been added. Claims 1, 4-7, 9-11. 13-27, 29 and 31-32 remain pending in this application. Applicants reserve the right to pursue the original and other claims in this or other applications.

Claims 1-5, 9, 12, 16, 18, 27, and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,957,205 to Liongosari in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0046276 to Gutierrez et al. ("Gutierrez") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,767,211 to Hall et al. ("Hall"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "a contents restructuring unit that restructures new contents from the contents elements extracted, wherein forming the new contents the contents restructuring unit selectively removes content elements previously viewed by the user." Liongosari does not disclose, teach or suggest the removal of contents previously viewed by the user. Gutierrez is cited for the purpose of teaching extracting content based on contents request information in response to acquiring contents request information, and fails to cure the deficiency of Liongosari. Hall is cited for the purpose of teaching determining an education curriculum and also fails to cure the deficiency of Liongosari.

Furthermore, Hall specifically teaches that elements of the education curriculum ("minilessons") are to be repeated for reinforcing purposes instead of removed after having been viewed by the user. Hall col.4, lines 31-35. In light of the teaching of Hall against the invention of claim 1, it would not be obvious for one of skill in the art to consider combining Hall with Liongosari to arrive at the invention of claim 1.

The combination of Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall fail to teach all limitations of claim 1. In addition, Hall teaches against the invention of claim 1 and should not be combined with Liongosari for the purpose of a §103 rejection of claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable over

Application No. 10/791,874 Amendment dated November 14, 2007 After Final Office Action of August 14, 2007

the combination of Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall. Claims 2, 3 and 12 have been cancelled, accordingly the rejection of these claims is moot. Claims 4-5, 9, 16, and 18 depend from claim 1 and are allowable over Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall, along with claim 1 as well as on their own merits. Claims 27 and 29 have been amended to recite similar limitations to claim 1 and are allowable over Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall for at least the reasons provided above. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24-26 all depend from a claim reciting the above described limitation of claim 1 or independently recite said limitation and all stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable based on the combination of Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall, further in view of an additional reference or an official notice. The official notices are respectfully traversed. Furthermore, none of the additional cited references nor official notices cure the above identified deficiencies of Liongosari, Guiterrez, and Hall. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Claim 30 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C §103 as being unpatentable over Liongosari in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0041064 to Moskowitz. As claim 30 has been cancelled, the rejection is moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn.

Claim 31 depends from claim 1 and is allowable along with claim 1.

In view of the above amendments, Applicants believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Application No. 10/791,874 Amendment dated November 14, 2007 After Final Office Action of August 14, 2007

Dated: November 14, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Docket No.: S0255.0017/P017

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082

Anthony M. Briggs, Jr.

Registration No.: 52,654 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicant